

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/710,654	11/10/2000	Alan Bartholomew	85300.911	5741
22804	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
THE HECKER LAW GROUP			PATEL, HARESH N	
1925 CENT SUITE 2300	URY PARK EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LES, CA 90067		2154	Ω.
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/10/200	. ()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/710,654	BARTHOLOMEW, ALAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Haresh Patel	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2000.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4-82 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4-82 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	ne of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4-82 are presented for examination. Claims 1-3 are canceled.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 4-26, are drawn to "a system processing audio-data, utilizing a computer system to generate enhanced audio data before generating encoded audio data having a reduce file size", classified in class 709, subclass 245.
 - II. Claims 27-49, are drawn to "a system processing photographic-image-data, utilizing a computer system to transform existing photographic image data", classified in class 709, subclass 217.
 - III. Claims 50-76, are drawn to "a system processing multimedia data, utilizing a computer system to incorporate multiple data types into the multimedia data", classified in class 377, subclass 88.13.
 - IV. Claim 77, is drawn to "a system processing data, utilizing a computer system to adjust a data file to match the storage parameters of a second computer system", classified in class 709, subclass 201.
 - V. Claims 78-82, are drawn to "a system processing multimedia data, utilizing a computer system to generate and send a media display control information along with a data file", classified in class 709, subclass 235.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2154

Inventions I, II, III, IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as lacking "a system processing photographic-image-data, utilizing a computer system to transform existing photographic image data", particulars. Invention II has separate utility such as lacking "a system processing multimedia data, utilizing a computer system to incorporate multiple data types into the multimedia data", particulars. Invention III has separate utility such as lacking "a system processing data, utilizing a computer system to adjust a data file to match the storage parameters of a second computer system", particulars. Invention IV has separate utility such as lacking "a system processing multimedia data, utilizing a computer system to generate and send a media display control information along with a data file", particulars. See MPEP 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the extensive search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2154

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Obi Iloputaife on June 3, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Obi Iloputaife made no election over the phone.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (703) 605-5234. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached at (703) 305-8498.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2154

Haresh Patel

June 3, 2004

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 5